ABSTRACT
BACKGROUND: Fragile X syndrome (FXS) is characterized by physical abnormalities, anxiety, intellectual disability, hyperactivity, autistic behaviors, and seizures. Abnormal neuronal development in FXS is poorly understood. Data on patients with FXS remain scarce, and FXS animal models have failed to yield successful therapies. In vitro models do not fully recapitulate the morphology and function of human neurons.

METHODS: To mimic human neuron development in vivo, we coinjected neural precursor cells derived from FXS patient–derived induced pluripotent stem cells and neural precursor cells derived from corrected isogenic control induced pluripotent stem cells into the brain of neonatal immune-deprived mice.

RESULTS: The transplanted cells populated the brain and a proportion differentiated into neurons and glial cells. Immunofluorescence and single and bulk RNA sequencing analyses showed accelerated maturation of FXS neurons after an initial delay. Additionally, we found increased percentages of Arc- and Egr-1–positive FXS neurons and wider dendritic protrusions of mature FXS striatal medium spiny neurons.

CONCLUSIONS: This transplantation approach provides new insights into the alterations of neuronal development in FXS by facilitating physiological development of cells in a 3-dimensional context.
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In fragile X syndrome (FXS), the expansion of the CGG triplet repeats in the FMR1 gene leads to hypermethylation of the repeats and of the FMR1 promoter. This causes the transcriptional silencing of the FMR1 gene and the reduction or absence of the FMR1 protein, FMRP (1). FMRP is an RNA-binding protein and is thought to be a translational repressor at the synapse, whose absence causes defects in neuronal development (2).

Data on FXS patients remain scarce, and findings from animal models of FXS have failed to translate into successful therapies, highlighting the need for human cell–based models. In vitro studies using human stem cell–derived neurons (3–10) have yielded discrepant results. Canonical 2-dimensional culture conditions fail to fully recapitulate the morphological and functional characteristics of human neurons. Additionally, impaired cell type specification due to ectopic activation of stress pathways was found in cerebral organoids, the most commonly used 3-dimensional in vitro model. Transplantation of the organoids in the mouse cortex alleviated these limitations (11). Thus, transplantation of human neural progenitor cells (hNPCs) into the mouse brain may better reflect physiological cellular behavior than in vitro models. hNPCs transplanted into the neonatal mouse brain migrate away from the injection site, differentiate into neurons, mature, express brain region–specific markers, and become electrically active (12–14).

Here, we cotransplanted hNPCs, differentiated from FXS patient–derived induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and from isogenic control iPSCs, in the brain of immune-deprived mouse neonates to study developmental defects of FXS neurons in an in vivo context.

METHODS AND MATERIALS
Experimental Animals
The animals used were NOD/scid/gamma mice. Detailed information is provided in Supplemental Methods.

hNPC Differentiation, Labeling, and Transplantation
Detailed information about hNPC differentiation and labeling is provided in Supplemental Methods. Cultured hNPCs were fully dissociated using Accutase (StemCell Technologies) and resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline without calcium and magnesium prior to injection at a concentration of 10^6 cells/μL. Postnatal day 0 (P0) to P3 mouse pups of either sex...
were manually injected with $4 \times 10^5$ hNPC in the lateral ventricles (1 anterior and 1 posterior injection site per brain hemisphere) using glass micropipettes.

Transplanted Human Cell Extraction for Single-Cell RNA Sequencing

Mice were euthanized using cervical dislocation. Brains were extracted and dissociated using Miltenyi Adult mouse and rat Brain Dissociation Kit (130-107-677; Miltenyi Biotec) and the gentleMACS Octo Dissociator. The cells were resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline + 0.5% bovine serum albumin and stained with DAPI to determine viability. DAPI-GFP$^+$ and DAPI-mCherry$^+$ cells were isolated using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and immediately sent for single-cell or bulk RNA sequencing (RNA-seq).

Single-Cell RNA-Seq Analysis

Sequencing data were mapped to a reference metagenome composed of human (GRCh38), mouse (GRCm38), GFP, and mCherry sequences with Cell Ranger (v.3.1.0). We analyzed the high-quality human cells with Seurat v.3 (15). To determine cell types in the different clusters, we used marker genes, cell cycle markers, and a comparison with a published single-cell RNA-seq dataset from the human embryonic primary cortex (16). Differential gene analysis between FXS and control was performed using edgeR (17) on pseudo-bulk RNA-seq counts. Genes with locally adjusted $p$ value < .05 and at least 2-fold effect sizes were considered to be differentially expressed. We performed gene list enrichment analysis on the differentially expressed protein-coding genes using ToppFun from the ToppGene suite (18, 19). Detailed information is provided in Supplemental Methods.

Bulk RNA-Seq and Analysis

Only the reads uniquely mapped to human reference were assigned to genes. Gene expression levels were normalized by library size. Differential expression analysis based on negative binomial distribution was done with DESeq2 (20). Detailed information is provided in Supplemental Methods.

NanoString Profiling

Samples were run on a NanoString SPRINT according to manufacturer’s instructions. The results were analyzed using the nSolver Analysis Software. Detailed information is in Supplemental Methods.

DNA Methylation Analysis

Pyro-sequencing of bisulfite-converted genomic DNA samples was performed with the PyroMark Q48 Autoprep (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primer information is listed in Table 1.

Reverse Transcriptase–Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction

RNA was extracted using miRNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen) and converted to complementary DNA (cDNA) using qScript cDNA Super Mix (Quanta Biosciences). rt-qPCR were prepared with Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (Life Technologies), and performed using a QuantStudio 6 machine. Primer information is listed in Table 2.

Immunohistochemistry and Immunocytochemistry

Immunostainings were performed using antibodies listed in Tables S2 and S3. Detailed information is provided in Supplemental Methods.

Neuronal Imaging, Tracing, and Morphometric Analyses

Arborization Analysis. Confocal microscopy z-stacks of control and FXS proximal dendritic segments were acquired with a 40× oil objective (zoom 4). The z-step size was 0.456 μm (wavelength 488 nm) or 0.497 μm (wavelength 594 nm). Images were deconvolved using Huygens and a theoretical point-spread function, and 3-dimensional automated analysis of dendritic protrusion density and width was performed using the Filament Tracer function of the Imaris software (RRID:SCR_007370) as previously described (23). The maximum spine length and minimum spine end diameter were set at 5 and 0.215 μm, respectively.

Statistical Analyses of Immunofluorescence Experiments. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (v.8) (RRID:SCR_002798). Detailed information is provided in Supplemental Methods.

RESULTS

Differentiation and Migration of Transplanted hNPCs

To investigate the developmental phenotypes of FXS neurons in vivo, we used 2 different FXS or corrected isogenic control pairs (Table S1). In the FXS_SW/C1_2_SW pair, the isogenic

Table 1. Primer Information for Pyro-sequencing of Bisulfite-Converted Genomic DNA Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Primer Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hFmr1_For</td>
<td>GAATTGGGATAATTAGATGGATTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hFmr1_Rev_Bio</td>
<td>CCCCTCTCTTCATAAATACCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hFmr1_Seq</td>
<td>TTTAGTTTTTTTTTAGTTTTAAG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Primer Information for RT-qPCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Primer Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hFmr1_For (21)</td>
<td>GTATGTACATTGTTGTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hFmr1_Rev (21)</td>
<td>CATCATCAGTCACCATGTTTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human beta-Actin_qPCR_For (8)</td>
<td>CATGAGTTGCTATTCAGAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human beta-Actin_qPCR_Rev (6)</td>
<td>CTCGATTGTCACGGAGCAT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RT-qPCR, reverse transcriptase–quantitative polymerase chain reaction.
control C1_2_SW was generated by CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)-mediated deletion of the CGG repeats in the FXS patient–derived male FXS_SW iPSC line (24), leading to the reactivation of the FMR1 promoter and FMRP re-expression. In the FX2 dCT/FX2 dCdT pair, the FXS patient–derived male FXS2 iPSC line was targeted with a catalytically inactive Cas9 fused to Tet1 as previously described (8,25). This led to the demethylation of the CGG repeats, reactivation of the FMR1 promoter, and FMRP re-expression (FX2 dCT iPSC line). To generate a control where the CGG repeats were not demethylated, the FXS2 iPSC line was targeted with a catalytically inactive Cas9 fused to a catalytically inactive Tet1 (FX2 dCdT iPSC line) (Figure S4). To eliminate potential off-target effects associated with methylation editing, we compared the FX2 dCT iPSC line and its isogenic control FX2 dCdT iPSC line in all the experiments.

hNPCs were generated from mutant and control iPSCs and labeled with GFP (control) or mCherry (FXS) (Figure 1A). It was found that 85% ± 4% C1_2_SW GFP hNPCs, 86% ± 0.4% FXS_SW mCherry hNPCs, 94% ± 0.04% dCas9-Tet1, and 90% ± 7% dCas9-dTet1 hNPCs coexpressed Pax6, Sox2 and Nestin, 3 hNPC markers, showing high purity of cultured hNPCs. In addition, 4% ± 8% dCas9-dTet1 hNPCs were only positive for Sox2 and Nestin (Figure SSA). The FMR1 promoter was efficiently demethylated in control hNPCs, and they expressed FMR1 as assessed by reverse

Figure 1. Transplanted hNPCs populate several areas of the brain and differentiate into neurons and astrocytes. (A) Coinjection of FXS hNPCs labeled with mCherry and isogenic control hNPCs labeled with GFP in the cerebral ventricles of NSG mouse neonates. Brains were harvested at 1, 3, or 6 months PI. (B) Maximum intensity projection of a 100-μm-thick mouse brain slice at 1 month PI. Scale bar = 200 μm. Red: mCherry-labeled FXS; green: GFP-labeled isogenic control (demethylation). Right lower panel: localization of the cell bodies of transplanted FXS (red) and isogenic control (green) cells. (C) Transplanted hNPCs from FXS and isogenic control (demethylation) labeled with DCX at 1 month PI. (D) Transplanted FXS and isogenic control (demethylation) hNPCs labeled with vim at 1 month PI. Red: mCherry-labeled FXS; green: GFP-labeled isogenic control. The yellow and the white arrow show the cell body of a control and FXS cell, respectively. Scale bars = 50 μm. (E) Percentage of FXS and isogenic control (deletion) cells labeled with vim, DCX, or unlabeled at 1 month PI. Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA; N = 4 animals, 17–109 neurons per animal for each group. (F) Percentage of FXS and isogenic control (demethylation) cells labeled with vim, DCX, or unlabeled at 1 month PI. Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA; N = 4 animals, 118–140 neurons per animal for each group. Bar heights and whiskers represent the mean ± SEM. ANOVA, analysis of variance; DCX, doublecortin; FXS, fragile X syndrome; GFP, green fluorescent protein; hNPC, human neural progenitor cell; PI, post injection; vim, vimentin.
transcriptase–quantitative polymerase chain reaction (rt-qPCR). In contrast, FMR1 promoter methylation was preserved in FXS hNPCs, and they did not express FMR1 (Figure S5B–D). Henceforth, the FXS_SW/C1_2_SW and dCas9-Tet1/dCas9-dTet1 pairs will be referred to as deletion pair: control (deletion)/FXS and demethylation pair: control (demethylation)/FXS, respectively.

We coinjected hNPCs derived from FXS and control cell lines into the brain ventricles of immune-deficient mouse neonates (Figure 1A) and analyzed the brains at different time points post injection (PI). Transplanted hNPCs migrated through the mouse brain and populated several areas, including the hippocampus, cortex, striatum, thalamus, and midbrain at 1 month PI (Figure 1B). The rostral migratory stream (RMS) is the migratory route of neurons generated in the subventricular zone to the olfactory bulb. At 15 days PI, a high proportion of cells was present in the corpus callosum (CC), and some cells were present in the RMS (Figure S6A). At 1 month PI, no cells were present in the RMS, and fewer cells were present in the CC (Figure 1B). This suggests that transplanted hNPCs integrated the CC before migrating to other brain areas and used the RMS to migrate to the olfactory bulb, although the design of our study did not allow us to determine their exact path of migration. This migration pattern has also been described in human-mouse microglial chimeras (26), suggesting that the migration of human cells injected in the ventricles is guided by mechanical rather than chemical factors.

Although the localization of transplanted cells varied from injection to injection, no obvious difference in migration or selective survival inside a brain area was detected between FXS and control at 15 days and 1 month PI (Figure S7). Transplanted cells occasionally formed aggregates along the spinal cord but did not integrate (data not shown). Transplanted cells also formed aggregates in the mouse brain (Figure S6), mainly composed of neurons, glial cells, and hNPCs (data not shown). All the transplanted cells were human nuclei antigen-positive, confirming their human origin (Figure S8).

We analyzed transplanted control and FXS cells present in the hippocampus, striatum, cortex, midbrain, and thalamus and pooled them together for quantification. We matched FXS neurons with control neurons localized in the same brain areas. Most of the hNPCs that had differentiated into doublecortin (DCX)-positive immature neurons at 1 month PI with a small proportion of hNPCs (~10%) positive for vimentin, a marker of glial cells and hNPCs (Figure 1C–F). A small proportion of cells were positive for Olig2, a marker for all cells of the oligodendrocyte lineage (27,28), in the deletion pair (Figure S9): 7% ± 3% control and 0.9% ± 0.9% FXS (4 animals, 101–138 cells per animal). No transplanted cell was Olig2+ at 1 month PI in the demethylation pair (4 animals, 100 cells per animal). Importantly, oligodendrocyte progenitors can also express DCX (29), although at lower levels than immature neurons. We found no Olig2+/DCX+ transplanted cell at 1 month PI (Figure S9). Additionally, no vimentin+/DCX+ cell was found (Figure 1C–E). This indicates that our antibody against DCX can reliably detect immature neurons at 1 month PI. FXS and control hNPCs yielded similar fractions of immature neurons at 1 month PI (Figure 1C–E).

After 1 month PI, the axonal projection density of transplanted cells decreased, indicating a decrease in neuron survival (Figure S6B, C), and glial proliferation occurred. Most NeuN+ neurons located in the brain regions studied were stained with markers specific to the brain region, such as Cux1, CtIP2, Brn2 (cortex), FoxP1 (striatum), Math2 (hippocampus), Ox2 (midbrain), and Gbx2 (thalamus), at 3 months PI. The proportion of positive neurons was similar in control and FXS neurons for each marker (Figure S10). Importantly, of 20 neurons analyzed per marker per cell line outside of the area where mouse neurons were positive for a given marker, none were positive, indicating that the staining of transplanted neurons was specific to the brain region. This suggests that most transplanted neurons differentiated into the proper neuronal subtype in the brain areas studied.

Approximately 60% to 80% of control transplanted neurons were FMRP positive at 1, 3, and 6 months PI, and none of the FXS cells were FMRP positive at the same time points (Figure S11A–C), showing that transplanted control neurons retained stable FMRP expression in vivo. Moreover, the demethylation of the FMR1 promoter was maintained, and rt-qPCR showed robust expression of the FMR1 gene in control (deletion pair) transplanted cells at 1 month PI (Figure S11D–F).

**Altered Maturation Process of Transplanted FXS Neurons**

DAPI is predominantly impermeable to live cells and can be used as a cell viability dye, DAPI-negative cells being considered viable. To investigate gene expression phenotypes of transplanted FXS neurons, we collected GFP+DAPI+ and mCherry+DAPI+ control and FXS viable transplanted neurons from the deletion pair by whole-brain extraction and subsequent FACS sorting. We performed single-cell RNA sequencing on the cells using the 10x Genomics platform. We analyzed 2 engraved mouse brains at 1 month PI. GFP+ cells and mCherry+ cells represented 0.8% ± 0.11% and 0.1% ± 0.01% of the total DAPI− cells, respectively.

FXS and control cells were assigned to similar UMAP clusters, allowing comparison of FXS and control cells within the same clusters (Figure S12A). Control and FXS cells were composed of neural precursor cells (NPCs), neurons at different maturation stages, and glial cells (detailed information is provided in Supplemental Methods) and showed similar developmental trajectories (Figure S12B, C).

Gene list enrichment analysis of the differentially expressed genes between FXS and control neuronal clusters (immature neurons 1 and 2; more mature neurons 1 and 2) indicated the upregulation of neurogenesis, neuronal differentiation, and synaptic signaling (Figure 2A). During neuronal differentiation, NPCs exit the cell cycle and differentiate into neuroblasts. Cell division pathways were downregulated in FXS neurons (Figure 2B). We analyzed the numbers of FXS and control cells in each cluster and found that the proportion of NPCs in FXS cells decreased, whereas the proportion of immature and mature neurons increased (Figure S13). The apoptosis pathway was not significantly upregulated in FXS NPCs and neurons in the gene set enrichment analysis (30) (Figure S13B,
Additionally, the percentage of mitochondrial genes was similar between FXS and control cells (Figure S13D). Together, these results suggest that cell death was similar in control and FXS cells after extraction and sorting, and there was increased maturation of FXS cells in the deletion pair at 1 month PI.

**FMR1** was significantly downregulated in FXS neurons compared with control (log2 fold change = -4, p = 1.44 × 10^-5).
10−32); however, only 7% of control cells showed FMR1 expression (Figure S14). This is likely due to the low sequencing depth of the 10x Genomics platform and/or the low level of FMR1 expression in the cells, as 60% of FXS neurons were FMRP positive as assessed by immunofluorescence.

The upregulated genes in FXS neurons included a known target of FMRP, NKX2-2 (31) (Figure S15 and Table S4). NKX2-2 upregulation was significant in neurons but not in NPCs. NKX2-2 expression was undetectable in the glial cell cluster (Figure S15B). NKX2-2 upregulation was confirmed by Nano-String analysis at 1 month PI (Figure S15C). This is consistent with the role of FMRP as a translational repressor.

To further investigate alterations in the maturation of FXS neurons, we analyzed transplanted neurons on brain slices using immunofluorescence. Neuroblasts initially express doublecortin (DCX), an immature neuron marker, then gradually lose DCX expression and start expressing NeuN, a mature neuron marker (32). The proportion of DCX+ neurons decreased, and the proportion of NeuN+ neurons increased between 15 days PI and 3 months PI (Figure 3A–G), showing ongoing neuronal maturation. At 6 months PI, no DCX+ cell was found, suggesting that transplanted neurons had undergone full maturation.

We analyzed transplanted control and FXS cells present in the hippocampus, striatum, cortex, midbrain, and thalamus and pooled them together for quantification. We matched FXS neurons with FMRP+ control neurons localized in the same brain areas. The maturation stage of FXS and FMRP+ control neurons was assessed by evaluating the fraction of DCX+, NeuN− neurons. At 1 month PI, no DCX+ neurons were FMRP positive as assessed by immunofluorescence.

Figure 3. Altered maturation process of transplanted FXS neurons compared with isogenic control. Percentage of DCX, doublecortin+NeuN+ (DCX/NeuN) and NeuN+ (NeuN) cells at 15 days PI in control and FXS cells from the deletion pair (A) and from the demethylation pair (B). Repeated-measures two-way ANOVA followed by Sidák’s multiple comparisons test; n = 3 animals, 51–55 neurons per mouse per group. (C) Confocal maximum intensity projection of isogenic control (deletion) (upper panel) and FXS (lower panel) neurons immunostained with DCX and NeuN at 15 days PI. White arrows indicate the cell bodies of neurons. The FXS neuron is stained with DCX only, whereas the control neuron shows DCX/NeuN costaining, indicating a more advanced stage of maturation of the control neuron. Scale bars = 5 μm. Percentage of doublecortin+ (DCX), doublecortin+NeuN+ (DCX/NeuN) and NeuN+ (NeuN) cells at 1 month PI in control and FXS cells from the deletion pair (D) and from the demethylation pair (E). Repeated-measures two-way ANOVA followed by Sidák’s multiple comparisons test; n = 4 animals, 30–72 neurons per mouse per group. Percentage of DCX, doublecortin+NeuN+ (DCX/NeuN), and NeuN+ (NeuN) cells at 3 months PI in control and FXS cells from the deletion pair (F) and from the demethylation pair (G). Repeated-measures two-way ANOVA followed by Sidák’s multiple comparisons test; n = 4 animals, 45–73 neurons per mouse per group. (H) Confocal maximum intensity projection of isogenic control (demethylation) (upper panel) and FXS (lower panel) neurons immunostained with DCX and NeuN at 3 months PI. The FXS neuron is stained with NeuN only, whereas the control neuron shows DCX/NeuN costaining, indicating a more advanced stage of maturation of the FXS neuron. Scale bars = 5 μm. Percentage of doublecortin+NeuN+ (DCX/NeuN) and NeuN+ (NeuN) cells at 6 months PI in control and FXS cells from the deletion pair (I) and from the demethylation pair (J). Repeated-measures two-way ANOVA; n = 3–4 animals, 49–55 neurons per mouse per group. Total percentage of NeuN+ cells at 6 months PI in control and FXS cells from the deletion pair (K) and from the demethylation pair (L). Paired t test; n = 3–4 animals, 49–55 neurons per mouse per group. *p < .05. Bar heights and whiskers represent the mean ± SEM. ANOVA, analysis of variance; DCX, doublecortin; FXS, fragile X syndrome; PI, post injection.
Increased Immediate Early Gene Expression and Dendritic Spine Size in Transplanted FXS Neurons

Previous work by our group and others showed that FXS neurons in both isogenic pairs are hyperexcitable in vitro (8,9). Immediate early genes (IEGs) are expressed during synaptic plasticity and are commonly used as markers for synaptic activity. To determine whether IEGs were upregulated in FXS neurons, we used immunofluorescence to assess ARCl, EGRl, and FOS expression in transplanted control and FXS neurons. Neurons were defined by DCX positivity at 1 month PI, as most neurons express DCX at this time point and by NeuN staining at 3 and 6 months PI because most neurons express this marker at these later time points. At 1 month PI, a higher fraction of FXS neurons from the deletion pair was Arc+ compared with control (Figure 4A). No significant difference was observed in the demethylation pair, although the percentage of FXS Arc+ neurons was slightly higher than in control (Figure 4B). At 3 and 6 months PI, an increased percentage of FXS neurons was Arc+ compared with control (Figure 4A–C). Similar to Arc labeling, a higher percentage of FXS neurons was Egr-1+ positive at all the time points studied (Figure 4D–F). The effect of the FMR1 mutation on Arc and Egr-1 positivity was similar in all the brain regions studied (Figures S18 and S19). The IEG FOS is expressed at lower levels in the mouse brain than ARC and EGR1 (33–36), resulting in a low proportion of c-fos–positive cells in the brain without stimulation. Of 38 to 51 transplanted neurons analyzed, no c-fos–positive control or FXS cells were found at the time points studied. NanoString analysis confirmed increased expression of ARCl in FXS neurons at 1 month PI. A significant increase in EGR1 expression was present in the demethylation pair at 1 month PI (Figure S20). Together, these results suggest increased synaptic activity in FXS neurons.

Next, we assessed the dendritic protrusion density and morphology of mature FXS and control neurons in the striatum at 6 to 7 months PI. Because the striatum was the brain region with the most transplanted human neurons still present at 6 months PI, and as mature medium spiny neurons display complex morphology and high dendritic spine density, we focused our analysis on the medium spiny neurons of the striatum. We used DARPP32 as a marker for mature medium spiny neurons (MSNs) (Figure S21A). No difference in neuronal arborization complexity was detected between FXS and control MSNs (Figure S21B–F). Dendritic spines generally correspond to excitatory synapses (37,38). It is commonly accepted that increased spine head size correlates with increased synaptic strength, and dendritic spines become wider after long-term potentiation (39). We measured dendritic protrusion density and head diameter in control and FXS neurons, using automated tracing and analysis with the Imaris software. Dendritic protrusion end diameter was significantly increased in FXS MSNs in both pairs (Figure 5A–D). We classified dendritic protrusions into 3 categories depending on the size of their head: head size ≤ 0.3 μm, 0.3 μm < head size < 0.6 μm, and head size ≥ 0.6 μm. For both pairs, FXS neurons had more large protrusions (head size ≥ 0.6 μm) and less thin protrusions (0.3 μm < head size < 0.6 μm) than control neurons (Figure 5A, B, E, F). No significant change in dendritic protrusion density was detected (Figure 5G, H). This suggests that although excitatory synaptogenesis in FXS MSNs was unchanged, excitatory synaptic strength was increased. Together, these results suggest increased synaptic activity, but not
excitatory synaptogenesis, in FXS neurons compared with control.

DISCUSSION

In vitro models do not entirely recapitulate the morphological and physiological properties of neurons. In this study, we cotransplanted FXS and isogenic control hNPCs in the mouse brain, allowing for neuronal development in the in vivo context of the mouse brain. FXS neurons transplanted in the mouse brain showed accelerated maturation after an initial delay, and our data suggest that their synapses are hyperactive.

While we cannot totally exclude interactions between FXS and control cells, integration of transplanted cells was sparse: extraction of transplanted cells yielded an average number of cells of $1.2 \times 10^5$ cells per mouse brain, with numbers ranging from $5 \times 10^2$ to $2 \times 10^6$ cells. This represents only 0.2% of the mouse brain (40), indicating that transplanted cells were mainly surrounded by wild-type mouse cells. Furthermore, various levels of contribution by the transplanted cells yielded the same results.

FXS MSNs displayed an increased dendritic protrusion head diameter. This is not consistent with previous studies showing dendritic protrusion elongation and no change in dendritic protrusion head diameter in mature striatal MSNs in an FXS mouse model (41). Similarly, dendritic spine analysis in patients with FXS showed increased spine density and an increase in the proportion of spines with a longer neck and smaller head in neocortical pyramidal cells (42). This difference may be explained by a noncell autonomous effect on the dendritic spine phenotype, possibly caused by FXS astrocytes. The transplanted FXS and control neurons in our study were exposed to the same neurodevelopmental niche and although FXS glial cells were present in the mouse brain

**Figure 4.** Increased percentages of Arc- and Egr-1–positive transplanted FXS neurons. Percentage of isogenic control and FXS Arc-positive neurons at 1, 3, and 6 months Pi in the deletion pair (A) and the demethylation pair (B). Paired t tests; $n = 3–4$ animals, 36–78 neurons analyzed per group. (C) Confocal maximum intensity projections showing an isogenic control (demethylation) Fmrp-positive Arc-negative neuron and an FXS Fmrp-negative Arc-positive neuron at 3 months Pi. White arrows indicate neuronal cell bodies. Scale bars = 5 μm. Percentage of isogenic control and FXS Egr-1–positive neurons at 1 month Pi, 3 months Pi, and 6 months Pi for the deletion pair (D) and the demethylation pair (E). Paired t tests; $n = 3$ animals, 50–56 neurons analyzed per group. (F) Confocal maximum intensity projections showing an isogenic control (demethylation) Fmrp-positive Egr-1–negative neuron and an FXS Fmrp-negative Egr-1–positive neuron at 3 months Pi. White arrows indicate neuronal cell bodies. Scale bars = 5 μm. Neurons were defined as doublecortin-positive cells at 1 month Pi and as NeuN-positive cells at 3 months and 6 months Pi. *$p < .05$; **$p < .01$. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. FXS, fragile X syndrome; Pi, post injection.
and proliferated between 1 and 6 months PI, they were sparse, and the neurons analyzed were not in direct contact with them. Therefore, the effects we observed were most likely cell autonomous. Consistent with this hypothesis, adult astrocyte-specific FMR1 knockout mice displayed increased spine density and a higher proportion of thin dendritic spines in the motor cortex, indicating that FXS astrocytes are necessary and sufficient for the dendritic spine phenotype of neurons in FXS (43).

For future work, our transplantation approach could be refined: the number of transplanted neurons decreased between 1 month and 6 months PI, and transplanted neurons were sparse at 6 months PI. Moreover, glial cells proliferated over time, making the analysis at later time points challenging. The fate of the transplanted cells is not controlled in our approach, making the study of different neuronal subtypes difficult. To solve this issue, one could consider injecting cortical or striatal neurons as done in previous studies (44–46). This approach may however give lower contribution of the cells to the mouse brain at early time points because neurons are less resistant to stress than neural progenitor cells and do not proliferate after injection.
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